

**Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Board**

1422 HOWE AVENUE, SUITE 3, SACRAMENTO, CA 95825
TELEPHONE: (916) 263-2666/ FAX: (916) 263-2668
www.slpab.ca.gov



**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY BOARD**

Medical Board of California
1424 Howe Avenue
Greg Gorges Conference Room "F"
Sacramento, CA 95825
(916) 263-2666

**CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
MAY 25, 2006**

Committee Members Present

Carol Murphy, M.A., Chairperson
Rebecca Binge, M.A.
Alison Grimes, Au.D.

Committee Members Absent

Jennifer Hancock, M.A.

Board Members Present

Lisa O'Connor, M.A.
Diana Verdugo, M.A.

Staff Present

Annemarie Del Mugnaio, Executive Officer
Lori Pinson, Staff Analyst
Kathi Burns, Staff Analyst
George Ritter, Legal Counsel

Guests Present

Robert Powell, California Speech-Language-Hearing Association
Robert Ivory, Audiologist, California Academy of Audiology

I. Call to Order

Chairperson Murphy called the meeting to order at 3:15 p.m.

II. Introductions

Those present introduced themselves.

III. Consider Appeal Regarding the Denial for Continuing Professional Development course credit: "Brain Injury: Stroke, Alzheimer's & Head Trauma" sponsored by INR.

Ms. Murphy explained that the Committee must consider the appeal of the denial of the course in question as the course was previously reviewed and denied by a subject matter expert (SME). The SME deemed that the course content was not specific to speech-language pathology or audiology and, therefore, did not meet the continuing professional development license renewal provisions.

Ms. Del Mugnaio explained that the licensee who initially submitted the course for Board review requested that the course be presented to the Committee for reconsideration and subsequently obtained additional information from the course provider and forwarded such to the Board.

The Committee discussed the course outline and objectives and determined that while the course appeared to offer a sound and comprehensive learning experience, the course content was broad and not specific to the scope of practice(s) of speech-language pathology or audiology.

Ms. Bingea commented that the course is a prime example of a “related” course as defined in the new proposed CPD regulations and that once the new regulations are adopted, a course of this format could be applied toward CPD license renewal requirements.

M/S/C: Verdugo/Bingea

The Committee voted to uphold the denial of the course and further directed staff to inform both the subject licensee and the course provider of the reasons for the denial under the existing regulations. Further, staff was to notify the affected parties of the proposed CPD regulation changes and the opportunity for courses such as “Brain Injury: Stroke, Alzheimer’s & Head Trauma” to be acceptable as “related” coursework where the accumulation of CPD hours are limited to a specified maximum.

Chairperson Murphy adjourned the meeting at 3:35 p.m.

Annemarie Del Mugnaio, Executive Officer